

Cortisol levels and history of depression in acute coronary syndrome patients

N. Messerli-Bürge^{1,2*}, G. J. Molloy^{1,3}, A. Wikman^{1,4}, L. Perkins-Porras^{1,5}, G. Randall¹ and A. Steptoe¹

¹ Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, UK

² Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Switzerland

³ Department of Psychology, University of Stirling, Scotland, UK

⁴ Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵ Department of Community Health Sciences, St George's, University of London, UK

Background. Depressed mood following an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is a risk factor for future cardiac morbidity. Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis dysregulation is associated with depression, and may be a process through which depressive symptoms influence later cardiac health. Additionally, a history of depression predicts depressive symptoms in the weeks following ACS. The purpose of this study was to determine whether a history of depression and/or current depression are associated with the HPA axis dysregulation following ACS.

Method. A total of 152 cardiac patients completed a structured diagnostic interview, a standardized depression questionnaire and a cortisol profile over the day, 3 weeks after an ACS. Cortisol was analysed using: the cortisol awakening response (CAR), total cortisol output estimated using the area under the curve method, and the slope of cortisol decline over the day.

Results. Total cortisol output was positively associated with history of depression, after adjustment for age, gender, marital status, ethnicity, smoking status, body mass index (BMI), Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events (GRACE) risk score, days in hospital, medication with statins and antiplatelet compounds, and current depression score. Men with clinically diagnosed depression after ACS showed a blunted CAR, but the CAR was not related to a history of depression.

Conclusions. Patients with a history of depression showed increased total cortisol output, but this is unlikely to be responsible for associations between depression after ACS and later cardiac morbidity. However, the blunted CAR in patients with severe depression following ACS indicates that HPA dysregulation is present.

Received 18 November 2010; Revised 5 December 2011; Accepted 6 December 2011; First published online 11 January 2012

Key words: Acute coronary syndrome, coronary heart disease, cortisol, HPA axis, major depression.

Introduction

The growing evidence that depression in patients who have survived an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is a risk factor for future cardiovascular morbidity (Meijer *et al.* 2011) has stimulated efforts to understand mediating biobehavioural processes. Inflammation, autonomic dysregulation, endothelial dysfunction and failure to adhere to medication and lifestyle advice have been implicated (de Jonge *et al.* 2010; Poole *et al.* 2011). Another candidate is hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) dysregulation, which may lead to failure to limit inflammatory activity (Nijm & Jonasson, 2009) while stimulating other

mechanisms contributing to coronary heart disease (CHD) (Brotman *et al.* 2007). Vogelzangs *et al.* (2010) recently reported that elevated 24-h cortisol concentrations in urine were associated with increased risk of cardiovascular mortality over the next 6 years, independently of covariates, whereas a flatter slope of salivary cortisol decline over the day predicted cardiovascular mortality in the Whitehall II study (Kumari *et al.* 2011). Subclinical atherosclerosis defined by coronary artery calcification or carotid plaque has also been associated with heightened cortisol stress responses (Hamer *et al.* 2010), flatter cortisol profiles over the day (Matthews *et al.* 2006) and greater overall cortisol output over the day (Dekker *et al.* 2008). An elevated cortisol awakening response (CAR) predicted progression of carotid intima media thickness over a 4-year period in women (Eller *et al.* 2005), but the CAR was blunted in a small study of hypertensive *versus* non-hypertensive men (Wirtz *et al.*

* Address for correspondence: N. Messerli-Bürge, Ph.D., Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 49, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.
(Email: nadine.messerli@psy.unibe.ch)

2007). In patients with diabetes, elevated morning cortisol was associated with the presence of CHD (Reynolds *et al.* 2010a), whereas cortisol levels on admission to hospital with ACS have been related to both unfavourable (Bain *et al.* 1992; Tenerz *et al.* 2003) and favourable (Fantidis, 2010; Reynolds *et al.* 2010b) prognoses.

Disturbed HPA activity and modifications in cortisol output have also been implicated in depression (Belmaker & Agam, 2008; Pariante & Lightman, 2008). However, studies associating cortisol with depression in cardiac patients have not identified consistent relationships. An evaluation of urinary cortisol in the Heart and Soul Study showed positive associations with depression in chronic CHD patients (Otte *et al.* 2004) but other studies have found that elevated morning cortisol (von Kanel *et al.* 2008) or flatter diurnal profiles (Bhattacharyya *et al.* 2008) were related to depression. In a previous study we found no association between depressive symptoms and cortisol output over the day measured 4 months after hospitalization with an ACS (Molloy *et al.* 2008). One important issue that has not been consistently taken into account is the patient's history of depression. Depressive symptoms in the period following ACS are predicted by previous history of depressive illness (Lesperance *et al.* 1996; Spijkerman *et al.* 2005; Martens *et al.* 2008). It might be the case that associations between cortisol output following ACS and depression are secondary to the influence of depression history. The aim of this study was therefore to determine whether a history of depression and current depression are associated with the profile of cortisol over the day measured 3 weeks after an ACS.

Method

Study population

The participants were 222 patients admitted with ACS to St George's Hospital in South London between June 2007 and October 2008 as part of a larger study relating biological and emotional factors in acute cardiac patients (Steptoe *et al.* 2011a,b). Patients were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: a diagnosis of ACS based on the presence of chest pain plus verification by diagnostic electrocardiographic (ECG) changes, troponin T or troponin I \geq 99th percentile of the upper reference limit and/or a creatine kinase measurement more than twice the upper range of normal for the measuring laboratory. Additional inclusion criteria were age of 18 years or over, absence of co-morbid conditions that might influence either symptom presentation or mood, other conditions that might cause troponin positivity, and ability to

complete interviews and questionnaires in English. The study was approved by the Wandsworth Research Ethics Committee (Institutional Review Board), and written consent was obtained.

Clinical and sociodemographic measures

Information was obtained from medical notes about cardiovascular history, clinical factors during admission and management. Admission ECGs were reviewed and scrutinized for presentation as ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or non-STEMI/unstable angina. We computed the composite risk index based on the algorithm developed in the Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events (GRACE) study (Eagle *et al.* 2004) and validated in independent studies (Alter *et al.* 2006). This uses nine criteria (age, congestive heart failure, history of MI, systolic blood pressure and heart rate on admission, ST segment depression, initial serum creatinine, elevated cardiac enzymes and in-hospital percutaneous coronary intervention) to define risk of 6-month post-discharge death applicable to all types of ACS. The number of days spent in hospital was included as an additional indicator of clinical status. This variable showed a skewed distribution so was log transformed before analysis.

Socio-economic status (SES) was assessed using a social deprivation index based on three criteria: living in a crowded household (defined as one or more people to a room), renting as opposed to owning a home, and not having use of a motor vehicle (car or van) (Strike *et al.* 2006). Patients were classified as low deprived (negative on all items), medium deprived (one positive) and high deprived (2–3 positive). Information concerning marital status, ethnicity and smoking was obtained by interview, and height and weight were recorded on admission for the computation of body mass index (BMI).

Assessment of depression

Patients were assessed in their homes at an average 21.6 ± 8.5 days following admission to hospital. The assessment included the Depression Interview and Structured Hamilton (DISH; Freedland *et al.* 2002), which was developed for the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease (ENRICH) study. The DISH generates a clinical diagnosis of major and minor depression based on DSM-IV. All researchers had been trained in the interview technique. In view of the relatively small numbers in the study, these categories were combined for analysis. Lifetime history of clinical depression was obtained from the DISH. The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck *et al.* 1988) was also

administered as part of this interview. This measure consists of 21 items rated on a scale of 0–3, so maximum scores can range from 0 to 63. The Cronbach α in this sample was 0.86.

Cortisol sampling

Salivary sampling with salivettes (Sarstedt, UK) was explained and undertaken during the interview at the patient's home. Cortisol sampling was undertaken during the next few days after the interview at the patient's home. Patients were asked to hold the cotton dental roll in their mouths for 2 min at six times: immediately after waking, 30 min later, 10:00–10:30 h, 14:00–14:30 h, 19:00–19:30 h, and then just before bedtime. Patients also recorded the exact time of sample collection and the time of waking. They were instructed to avoid caffeine and acidic drinks, smoking, tooth brushing, eating and drinking for 15 min before collecting saliva. Salivettes were stored in domestic refrigerators before posting them back to the laboratory. Patients who did not return their samples within 2 weeks were sent reminders and replacement salivettes if necessary. Saliva samples were sent to the Technical University Dresden for the analysis of cortisol by chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA; IBL-Hamburg, Germany). Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were <8%.

Statistical analysis

Of the 222 patients interviewed 3 weeks after ACS, saliva samples were returned by 160 (72%), and complete usable cortisol data were obtained from 152. These individuals were included in the primary analyses. Seven people were not asked to collect saliva for dental or other reasons; the majority of non-respondents agreed verbally to collect the samples yet failed to do so. The patients who did and did not provide cortisol data did not differ in gender, ethnicity, marital status, BMI, type of ACS, history of MI, number of days spent in hospital, or in medication at the time of interview. However, the patients who did not complete cortisol assessments were significantly younger (mean age = 55.43, S.D. = 12.29 years *versus* mean age = 61.70, S.D. = 10.94 years, $p < 0.001$), were more socially deprived ($p < 0.001$), more likely to be smokers (52.8% *versus* 30.9%, $p = 0.002$) and had lower GRACE risk scores (mean = 84.40, S.D. = 27.21 *versus* mean = 95.05, S.D. = 25.43, $p = 0.005$). They were also more depressed on the BDI on average than those whose cortisol was analysed (mean = 8.34, S.D. = 9.00 *versus* mean = 5.90, S.D. = 5.12, $p = 0.011$), but did not differ in history of depression ($p = 0.21$).

Cortisol output was analysed using three measures: the cortisol awakening response (CAR), computed as the difference between measures taken on waking and 30 min later, total output over the day, estimated using the area under the curve method with respect to ground (Pruessner *et al.* 2003a), and the slope of cortisol decline over the day, computed as the reduction in cortisol per hour using regression methods. The slope measure excluded the 30-min post-waking sample, as is common in this literature. The CAR is crucially dependent on the waking cortisol sample being obtained without marked delays after waking because postponement can reduce the magnitude of the awakening response (Chida & Steptoe, 2009). Based on analyses comparing self-report and objectively measured waking (Dockray *et al.* 2008), we excluded individuals from the CAR analyses if the waking sample was delayed >15 min after reported waking times. Seven individuals were excluded from the analyses on this basis. The CAR values were normally distributed, but the total output over the day and cortisol slopes were log transformed before analysis.

Comparisons of background factors between patients with and without a history of depression were carried out with t tests for continuous and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Associations between depression following ACS, history of depression, and cortisol were carried out using multivariable regression on the three cortisol measures. Factors related to the severity of cardiac disease (GRACE score, number of days spent in hospital) and other variables that might influence depression or cortisol (age, gender, ethnicity, social deprivation, marital status, BMI, smoking status) were included as covariates in the models, as were medication with statins and antiplatelet preparations. The analyses of the CAR included time of the first sample as an additional covariate because this has previously been related to the magnitude of responses (Edwards *et al.* 2001). There were no indications of multicollinearity in the dataset as determined by the variance inflation factor. Additionally, cortisol levels in patients with and without a history of depression and with and without diagnosed depression following ACS were compared by analysis of covariance, with the variables listed above included as covariates. The results are presented as means \pm standard deviations.

Results

Participant characteristics are detailed in Table 1, where it can be seen that the majority were white men who experienced a STEMI. A history of depression was reported by 27%. A higher proportion of patients

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients with and without a history of depression

	Positive history of depression (<i>n</i> = 41)	No history of depression (<i>n</i> = 111)	<i>p</i>
Age (years), mean (s.d.)	59.22 (10.57)	62.61 (10.99)	0.090
Men/women, <i>n</i> (%)	32/9 (78/22)	101/10 (91/9)	0.050
Ethnic minority, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (22)	13 (11.7)	0.12
Married, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (70.7)	82 (73.9)	0.69
Social deprivation, <i>n</i> (%)			
Low	29 (72.5)	87 (79.8)	0.44
Medium	9 (22.5)	17 (15.6)	
High	2 (5.0)	5 (4.6)	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (s.d.)	25.97 (3.29)	27.69 (4.29)	0.024
Smoker, <i>n</i> (%)	20 (48.8)	27 (24.3)	0.005
Diagnosis, <i>n</i> (%)			
STEMI	35 (85.4)	102 (91.9)	0.23
Previous MI	2 (4.9)	17 (15.3)	0.10
GRACE score, mean (s.d.)	88.71 (23.92)	97.40 (25.69)	0.061
Days in hospital, mean (s.d.)	5.15 (2.60)	5.56 (3.95)	0.54
Medication, <i>n</i> (%)			
Statins	39 (95.1)	105 (96.3)	0.66
Beta-blockers	32 (78.0)	88 (80.7)	0.82
RAS medication	38 (92.7)	94 (86.2)	0.40
Anti-platelet	41 (100)	107 (98.2)	1.00
Depression (BDI score), mean (s.d.)	7.48 (5.39)	5.31 (4.91)	0.020

BMI, Body mass index; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; s.d., standard deviation.

with a history of depression were women ($p=0.050$) and tended to be younger ($p=0.090$). Patients with a history of depression were more often smokers ($p=0.005$) and had a lower BMI ($p=0.024$). There were, however, no other differences in clinical characteristics or medication. As expected, scores on the BDI obtained 3 weeks after ACS were significantly higher among patients with a history of depression ($p=0.020$). The proportion of patients with major and minor depression according to the DISH was 19.4%, with a greater percentage among patients with a positive than a negative history of depression (25.8% *v.* 16.6%). However, because of attrition of patients with greater depression scores from cortisol sampling, the proportion with DISH diagnosed depression included in these analyses was 16.2%, comprising 21 men (16.7%) but only two women (12.5%). Mean BDI scores 3 weeks after ACS were substantially higher in the diagnosed depressed compared with non-depressed patients (mean = 13.88 ± 9.06 and 4.92 ± 4.18 respectively, $p < 0.001$). The waking cortisol sample was obtained at $07:18 \text{ h} \pm 64 \text{ min}$ on average, with the 30-min sample taken at $07:51 \text{ h} \pm 66 \text{ min}$. The four remaining samples were obtained at $10:09 \pm 30$,

$14:07 \pm 30$, $19:06 \pm 36$ and $22:37 \text{ h} \pm 50 \text{ min}$, indicating good adherence to the protocol. The CAR ($n=139$) averaged $4.28 \pm 9.12 \text{ nmol/l}$.

The CAR was not related to depressed mood following ACS assessed with the BDI. However, men who were diagnosed with depression on the DISH had smaller CARs than the remainder, after adjusting for age, ethnicity, marital status, social deprivation, smoking status, BMI, GRACE risk score, days in hospital, medication with statins and antiplatelet compounds, history of depression, and time of the first sample ($p=0.038$). This effect is shown in Fig. 1, where it is evident that the two groups did not differ in cortisol output on waking, but that the depressed patients showed no rise in cortisol after 30 min. The mean CAR adjusted for covariates was $0.41 \pm 6.81 \text{ nmol/l}$ in the depressed compared with $6.03 \pm 9.05 \text{ nmol/l}$ in the non-depressed participants. There was no difference in time of waking or SES between the groups. Thus, depression following ACS was associated with a blunted CAR among male cardiac patients.

The regression model on total cortisol output is summarized in Table 2. Total cortisol output was positively associated with history of depression




Fig. 1. Mean salivary cortisol on waking and 30 min later in male patients with (broken line, triangle) and without (solid line, square) current major or minor depression diagnosed using the Depression Interview and Structured Hamilton (DISH). Values are adjusted for age, marital status, social deprivation, ethnicity, smoking status, body mass index (BMI), Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events (GRACE) risk score, days in hospital, medication with statins and antiplatelet compounds, history of depression and time of the first sample. Error bars are standard errors of the mean.

[$B = 0.192$, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.040–0.345, $p = 0.014$], after adjustment for age, gender, marital status, social deprivation, ethnicity, smoking status, BMI, GRACE risk score, days in hospital, medication with statins and antiplatelet compounds, and current BDI score. Current BDI score was not associated with cortisol output. The association between history of depression and cortisol output is illustrated in Fig. 2, where it can be seen that cortisol levels were similar on waking in the two groups. Subsequently, cortisol levels were moderately elevated throughout the day in patients with a positive history of depression, converging again at bedtime. Apart from history of depression, the only other variable independently to predict cortisol output over the day was medication with statins. Additional analyses (not detailed here) comparing extreme BDI groups, assessing cognitive and somatic symptoms of depression as defined by de Jonge *et al.* (2006), or comparing patients with major or minor depression according to the DISH, did not show any further links with cortisol output. There was no association between cortisol slope over the day and any of the factors mentioned above (see Table 2).

Discussion

This study evaluated cortisol output over the day in patients who had recently survived an ACS, in order to investigate the relevance of HPA dysfunctioning to the association between post-ACS depression and future cardiac morbidity. Total cortisol output over

the day was not related to depression assessed 3 weeks after an ACS. It was, however, elevated in patients with a history of depression, and this association was independent of demographic factors, markers of the clinical severity of the cardiac event, medication, and current depressed mood. Additionally, male patients who were diagnosed using a structured interview with major or minor depression at 3 weeks after their ACS showed a blunted CAR that was again independent of covariates.

At least 50% of patients with a major depressive disorder experience one or more recurrences in the future (Mueller *et al.* 1999). Predictors of recurrent depression include the number of previous episodes, persisting subsyndromal symptomatology and stress exposure, and it has been suggested that disturbances of HPA regulation may also contribute (O'Toole *et al.* 1997; Appelhof *et al.* 2006). The relationship between HPA functioning and depression is complex, and disturbances in cortisol responses to stress, hippocampal control of the HPA and glucocorticoid receptor expression have been implicated (Pariante & Lightman, 2008; Snyder *et al.* 2011; Stetler & Miller, 2011). The experience of a severe cardiac event such as an ACS is a strong emotional stressor, but the fact that elevated cortisol output was not related to depressed mood or diagnosed depression 3 weeks after an ACS suggests that the phenomenon is not specifically associated with experience of ACS. Nonetheless, the observation that these individuals have raised cortisol output over the day may increase their risk of cardiac sequelae through the impact of HPA function on inflammatory responses, endothelial dysfunction and insulin resistance (Girod & Brotman, 2004; Broadley *et al.* 2005).

Interpretation of the association between depression following an ACS and a reduced CAR must be made cautiously, in light of the fact that effects emerged in analyses based on the DISH clinical interview rather than continuous scores on the BDI. It is, however, interesting that the more severe indications of current depression identified in the clinical interview were associated with the CAR. The proportion of individuals diagnosed as depressed was comparable to those reported in other studies (Thombs *et al.* 2008). Some studies in populations without CHD have shown heightened CARs in more depressed individuals (Bhagwagar *et al.* 2005; Pruessner *et al.* 2003b), whereas others have described diminished responses (Stetler & Miller, 2005). In two studies with different groups of cardiac patients, we found no relationship between depressive symptoms measured with the BDI and the CAR (Bhattacharyya *et al.* 2008; Molloy *et al.* 2008). The results in this study suggest that disturbances of cortisol output early in the day are only associated with more severe depression as

Table 2. Regressions on cortisol output

	Total cortisol output		Cortisol awakening response (CAR)		Cortisol slope	
	B (95 % CI)	p	B (95 % CI)	p	B (95 % CI)	p
Age	0.010 (−0.001 to 0.021)	0.082	−0.228 (−0.624 to 0.169)	0.26	−0.000 (−0.001 to 0.000)	0.64
Gender ^a	−0.105 (−0.319 to 0.110)	0.34	3.94 (−3.15 to 11.03)	0.27	−0.006 (−0.012 to 0.000)	0.053
Ethnicity ^b	−0.151 (−0.341 to 0.040)	0.12	7.04 (0.238 to 13.84)	0.043	0.000 (−0.006 to 0.005)	0.85
Marital status ^c	0.041 (−0.117 to 0.199)	0.61	3.36 (−2.08 to 8.81)	0.22	0.003 (−0.001 to 0.008)	0.16
Social deprivation	0.075 (−0.051 to 0.201)	0.24	1.37 (−3.35 to 6.08)	0.57	−0.001 (−0.005 to 0.003)	0.58
Smoking ^d	−0.004 (−0.154 to 0.148)	0.96	−2.47 (−7.83 to 2.90)	0.36	0.000 (−0.004 to 0.004)	0.96
BMI	0.006 (−0.012 to 0.023)	0.56	−0.053 (−0.702 to 0.595)	0.87	0.000 (0.000 to 0.001)	0.82
GRACE risk score	0.001 (−0.004 to 0.005)	0.78	0.074 (−0.076 to 0.223)	0.33	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.84
Days in hospital	0.043 (−0.090 to 0.175)	0.53	0.826 (−3.87 to 5.52)	0.73	0.000 (−0.004 to 0.004)	0.91
Statin medication ^e	−0.356 (−0.681 to −0.031)	0.032	−14.08 (−23.13 to −5.03)	0.003	−0.007 (−0.017 to 0.002)	0.12
Antiplatelet medication ^e	−0.336 (−1.135 to 0.463)	0.41	11.94 (−9.44 to 33.33)	0.55	−0.009 (−0.032 to 0.014)	0.44
Depression (BDI score)	−0.004 (−0.018 to 0.009)	0.51	−0.191 (−0.826 to 0.444)	0.55	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.45
History of depression ^f	0.192 (0.040 to 0.345)	0.014	1.06 (−4.17 to 6.29)	0.69	0.000 (−0.004 to 0.005)	0.92
Time of waking			−0.005 (−0.041 to 0.031)	0.80		

B, Regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

Reference groups are: ^a men; ^b white; ^c married; ^d non-smokers; ^e no medication; ^f no history of depression.




Fig. 2. Mean salivary cortisol at six sampling points over the day in patients with (solid line, diamond) and without (broken line, disc) a history of depression. Values are adjusted for age, gender, marital status, ethnicity, smoking status, body mass index (BMI), Global Registry of Acute Cardiac Events (GRACE) risk score, days in hospital, medication with statins and antiplatelet compounds, and current Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score.

identified by clinical interview, rather than depressive symptoms as a continuous variable. We did not test effects in women because only two female patients who completed satisfactory early day cortisol assessments were diagnosed with depression on the clinical interview.

Another striking feature of the results is the relatively small CAR recorded even in non-depressed ACS patients. We did not test a healthy comparison group, but previous studies from our laboratory of individuals within the same age range have shown mean CARs in the range 6–10 nmol/l, compared with 4.28 nmol/l here (Kunz-Ebrecht *et al.* 2004; Wright &

Stephens, 2005). Further studies are clearly required to explore the inconsistencies in these results. However, the complete absence of a CAR may indicate disturbances of HPA regulation that are potentially relevant to future cardiovascular risk.

A relevant factor to the interpretation of these findings was the low response rate (72%) for completion of cortisol assessments. Patients who failed to provide cortisol samples were younger, more likely to be socially deprived, and had suffered a less severe ACS. They were also more depressed at the time of the 3-week interview. The reasons why they did not return saliva samples are not certain. As these patients were more socio-economically deprived and had a less severe cardiac event than the remainder, they may have returned to work more promptly and therefore found it more difficult to incorporate the saliva sampling into their everyday lives. Alternatively, their greater depression may have made them less compliant to the demands of the study. Importantly, they did not differ from the remainder in their history of depression, so the response rate is unlikely to have affected the association between depression history and cortisol. However, their greater depression at the time of the 3-week interview may have compromised tests of associations between current depressed mood and total cortisol output. We consider this unlikely, because analyses of more extreme current depression groups or comparisons of individuals with and without clinical depression at the time of interview did not indicate stronger relationships with total cortisol output over the day.

Some further limitations of this study should be considered. Saliva samples were collected over a single day, and more robust effects may emerge with repeated sampling. There was no objective measure of sample timing, so we were reliant on patient reports for this information. History of depression was collected retrospectively following ACS, and this may have influenced reporting patterns, particularly among patients who were currently depressed. The study was not powered to determine whether the differences in HPA regulation associated with history of or current depression predicted later cardiac health. We were also not able to analyse the associations between cortisol and the combination of elevated depressed mood or depression diagnosis following ACS and history of depression. The strengths of the study include the use of a standard interview-based method of assessing history of depression and current depressive status. We also assessed medication in detail and controlled for the severity of the cardiac event with a well-validated measure. Our findings suggest that history of depression rather than depressed mood following ACS is a determinant of overall cortisol output following ACS, whereas current mental state may be more significant to cortisol levels early in the day. Whether these disturbances of cortisol regulation are sufficiently great to mediate in part the association between post-ACS depression and future cardiac morbidity will require a more extended longitudinal study.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the British Heart Foundation (RG/05/006) and was partly funded by a grant (PBBE1-117004) from the Swiss National Foundation to N.M.-B. The British Heart Foundation and the Swiss National Foundation had no role in the design, analysis or interpretation of this study. We are grateful to the staff and patients of St George's Hospital, London for their participation in the study.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

Alter DA, Venkatesh V, Chong A (2006). Evaluating the performance of the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events risk-adjustment index across socioeconomic strata among patients discharged from the hospital after acute myocardial infarction. *American Heart Journal* **151**, 323–331.

Appelhof BC, Huyser J, Verweij M, Brouwer JP, van Dyck R, Fliers E, Hoogendijk WJ, Tijssen JG, Wiersinga WM,

Schene AH (2006). Glucocorticoids and relapse of major depression (dexamethasone/corticotropin-releasing hormone test in relation to relapse of major depression). *Biological Psychiatry* **59**, 696–701.

Bain RJ, Fox JP, Jagger J, Davies MK, Littler WA, Murray RG (1992). Serum cortisol levels predict infarct size and patient mortality. *International Journal of Cardiology* **37**, 145–150.

Beck AT, Steer RA, Garbin MG (1988). Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review* **8**, 77–100.

Belmaker RH, Agam G (2008). Major depressive disorder. *New England Journal of Medicine* **358**, 55–68.

Bhagwagar Z, Hafizi S, Cowen PJ (2005). Increased salivary cortisol after waking in depression. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **182**, 54–57.

Bhattacharyya MR, Molloy GJ, Steptoe A (2008). Depression is associated with flatter cortisol rhythms in patients with coronary artery disease. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **65**, 107–113.

Broadley AJ, Korszun A, Abdelaal E, Moskvina V, Jones CJ, Nash GB, Ray C, Deanfield J, Frenneaux MP (2005). Inhibition of cortisol production with metyrapone prevents mental stress-induced endothelial dysfunction and baroreflex impairment. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **46**, 344–350.

Brotman DJ, Golden SH, Wittstein IS (2007). The cardiovascular toll of stress. *Lancet* **370**, 1089–1100.

Chida Y, Steptoe A (2009). Cortisol awakening response and psychosocial factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biological Psychology* **80**, 265–278.

de Jonge P, Ormel J, van den Brink RH, van Melle JP, Spijkerman TA, Kuijper A, van Veldhuisen DJ, van den Berg MP, Honig A, Crijns HJ, Schene AH (2006). Symptom dimensions of depression following myocardial infarction and their relationship with somatic health status and cardiovascular prognosis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **163**, 138–144.

de Jonge P, Rosmalen JG, Kema IP, Doornbos B, van Melle JP, Pouwer F, Kupper N (2010). Psychophysiological biomarkers explaining the association between depression and prognosis in coronary artery patients: a critical review of the literature. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **35**, 84–90.

Dekker MJ, Koper JW, van Aken MO, Pols HA, Hofman A, de Jong FH, Kirschbaum C, Witteman JC, Lamberts SW, Tiemeier H (2008). Salivary cortisol is related to atherosclerosis of carotid arteries. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **93**, 3741–3747.

Dockray S, Bhattacharyya MR, Molloy GJ, Steptoe A (2008). The cortisol awakening response in relation to objective and subjective measures of waking in the morning. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **33**, 77–82.

Eagle KA, Lim MJ, Dabbous OH, Pieper KS, Goldberg RJ, Van de Werf F, Goodman SG, Granger CB, Steg PG, Gore JM, Budaj A, Avezum A, Flather MD, Fox KA; GRACE Investigators (2004). A validated prediction model for all forms of acute coronary syndrome: estimating the risk of 6-month postdischarge death in an

- international registry. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **291**, 2727–2733.
- Edwards S, Evans P, Hucklebridge F, Clow A** (2001). Association between time of awakening and diurnal cortisol secretory activity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **26**, 613–622.
- Eller NH, Netterstrom B, Allerup P** (2005). Progression in intima media thickness – the significance of hormonal biomarkers of chronic stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **30**, 715–723.
- Fantidis P** (2010). The role of the stress-related anti-inflammatory hormones ACTH and cortisol in atherosclerosis. *Current Vascular Pharmacology* **8**, 517–525.
- Freedland KE, Skala JA, Carney RM, Raczynski JM, Taylor CB, Mendes de Leon CF, Ironson G, Youngblood ME, Krishnan KR, Veith RC** (2002). The Depression Interview and Structured Hamilton (DISH): rationale, development, characteristics, and clinical validity. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **64**, 897–905.
- Girod JP, Brotman DJ** (2004). Does altered glucocorticoid homeostasis increase cardiovascular risk? *Cardiovascular Research* **64**, 217–226.
- Hamer M, O'Donnell K, Lahiri A, Steptoe A** (2010). Salivary cortisol responses to mental stress are associated with coronary artery calcification in healthy men and women. *European Heart Journal* **31**, 424–429.
- Kumari M, Shipley M, Stafford M, Kivimaki M** (2011). Association of diurnal patterns in salivary cortisol with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: findings from the Whitehall II study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **29**, 516–528.
- Kunz-Ebrecht SR, Kirschbaum C, Marmot M, Steptoe A** (2004). Differences in cortisol awakening response on work days and weekends in women and men from the Whitehall II cohort. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **29**, 516–528.
- Lesperance F, Frasure-Smith N, Talajic M** (1996). Major depression before and after myocardial infarction: its nature and consequences. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **58**, 99–110.
- Martens EJ, Smith OR, Winter J, Denollet J, Pedersen SS** (2008). Cardiac history, prior depression and personality predict course of depressive symptoms after myocardial infarction. *Psychological Medicine* **38**, 257–264.
- Meijer A, Conradi HJ, Bos EH, Thoms BD, van Melle JP, de Jonge P** (2011). Prognostic association of depression following myocardial infarction with mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of 25 years of research. *General Hospital Psychiatry* **33**, 203–216.
- Matthews K, Schwartz J, Cohen S, Seeman T** (2006). Diurnal cortisol decline is related to coronary calcification: CARDIA study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **68**, 657–661.
- Molloy GJ, Perkins-Porras L, Strike PC, Steptoe A** (2008). Type-D personality and cortisol in survivors of acute coronary syndrome. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **70**, 863–868.
- Mueller TI, Leon AC, Keller MB, Solomon DA, Endicott J, Coryell W, Warshaw M, Maser JD** (1999). Recurrence after recovery from major depressive disorder during 15 years of observational follow-up. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **156**, 1000–1006.
- Nijm J, Jonasson L** (2009). Inflammation and cortisol response in coronary artery disease. *Annals of Medicine* **41**, 224–233.
- O'Toole SM, Sekula LK, Rubin RT** (1997). Pituitary-adrenal cortical axis measures as predictors of sustained remission in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry* **42**, 85–89.
- Otte C, Marmar CR, Pipkin SS, Moos R, Browner WS, Whooley MA** (2004). Depression and 24-hour urinary cortisol in medical outpatients with coronary heart disease: the Heart and Soul Study. *Biological Psychiatry* **56**, 241–247.
- Pariante CM, Lightman SL** (2008). The HPA axis in major depression: classical theories and new developments. *Trends in Neuroscience* **31**, 464–468.
- Poole L, Dickens C, Steptoe A** (2011). The puzzle of depression and acute coronary syndrome: reviewing the role of acute inflammation. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **71**, 61–68.
- Pruessner JC, Kirschbaum C, Meinlschmidt G, Hellhammer D** (2003a). Two formulas for computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration versus time-dependent change. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **28**, 916–931.
- Pruessner M, Hellhammer DH, Pruessner JC, Lupien SJ** (2003b). Self-reported depressive symptoms and stress levels in healthy young men: associations with the cortisol response to awakening. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **65**, 92–99.
- Reynolds RM, Labad J, Strachan MW, Braun A, Fowkes FG, Lee AJ, Frier BM, Seckl JR, Walker BR, Price JF; Edinburgh Type 2 Diabetes Study (ET2DS) Investigators** (2010a). Elevated fasting plasma cortisol is associated with ischemic heart disease and its risk factors in people with type 2 diabetes: the Edinburgh type 2 diabetes study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **95**, 1602–1608.
- Reynolds RM, Walker BR, Haw S, Newby DE, Mackay DF, Cobbe SM, Pell AC, Fischbacher C, Pringle S, Murdoch D, Dunn F, Oldroyd K, Macintyre P, O'Rourke B, Pell JP** (2010b). Low serum cortisol predicts early death after acute myocardial infarction. *Critical Care Medicine* **38**, 973–975.
- Snyder JS, Soumier A, Brewer M, Pickel J, Cameron HA** (2011). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis buffers stress responses and depressive behaviour. *Nature* **476**, 458–461.
- Spijkerman TA, van den Brink RH, Jansen JH, Crijns HJ, Ormel J** (2005). Who is at risk of post-MI depressive symptoms? *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **58**, 425–432.
- Steptoe A, Molloy GJ, Messerli-Bürgy N, Wikman A, Randall G, Perkins-Porras L, Kaski JC** (2011a). Emotional triggering and low socio-economic status as determinants of depression following acute coronary syndrome. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 1857–1866.
- Steptoe A, Molloy GJ, Messerli-Bürgy N, Wikman A, Randall G, Perkins-Porras L, Kaski JC** (2011b). Fear of dying during acute coronary syndrome, tumor necrosis factor alpha responses, reduced heart rate variability, and long-term adaptation. *European Heart Journal* **32**, 2405–2411.

- Stetler C, Miller GE** (2005). Blunted cortisol response to awakening in mild to moderate depression: regulatory influences of sleep patterns and social contacts. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **114**, 697–705.
- Stetler C, Miller GE** (2011). Depression and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation: a quantitative summary of four decades of research. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **73**, 114–126.
- Strike PC, Perkins-Porras L, Whitehead DL, McEwan J, Steptoe A** (2006). Triggering of acute coronary syndromes by physical exertion and anger: clinical and sociodemographic characteristics. *Heart* **92**, 1035–1040.
- Tenerz A, Nilsson G, Forberg R, Ohrvik J, Malmberg K, Berne C, Leppert J** (2003). Basal glucometabolic status has an impact on long-term prognosis following an acute myocardial infarction in non-diabetic patients. *Journal of Internal Medicine* **254**, 494–503.
- Thombs BD, de Jonge P, Coyne JC, Whooley MA, Frasure-Smith N, Mitchell AJ, Zuidersma M, Eze-Nliam C, Lima BB, Smith CG, Soderlund K, Ziegelstein RC** (2008). Depression screening and patient outcomes in cardiovascular care: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **300**, 2161–2171.
- Vogelzangs N, Beekman AT, Milaneschi Y, Bandinelli S, Ferrucci L, Penninx BW** (2010). Urinary cortisol and six-year risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **95**, 4959–4964.
- von Kanel R, Mausbach BT, Kudielka BM, Orth-Gomer K** (2008). Relation of morning serum cortisol to prothrombotic activity in women with stable coronary artery disease. *Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis* **25**, 165–172.
- Wirtz PH, von Känel R, Emini L, Ruedisueli K, Groessbauer S, Maercker A, Ehler U** (2007). Evidence for altered hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis functioning in systemic hypertension: blunted cortisol response to awakening and lower negative feedback sensitivity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **32**, 430–436.
- Wright CE, Steptoe A** (2005). Subjective socioeconomic position, gender and cortisol responses to waking in an elderly population. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **30**, 582–590.